## SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, OCTOBER 13, 2006 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S145231 G035001 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. LAMAS (ROBERT A.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 20, 2006.

S145985 C051252 Third Appellate District V. (A.), IN RE

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 20, 2006.

S146000 G033808 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CARRILLO (RODOLFO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 21, 2006.

S146044 C051028 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. WARD (ELTON G.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 22, 2006.

#### S034800

## PEOPLE v. DEHOYOS (RICHARD L.)

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to December 15, 2006. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and take all steps necessary to meet it.

#### S039894

# PEOPLE v. SATTIEWHITE (CHRISTOPHER J.)

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Peter R. Hensley's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 1, 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 5, 2006. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

#### S042346

#### PEOPLE v. JONES (BRYAN M.)

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to December 18, 2006.

## S045696

## PEOPLE v. GARCIA (RANDY E.)

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Peter R. Silten's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by January 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 18, 2006. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

#### PEOPLE v. SCOTT (ROYCE L.)

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Susan Ten Kwan's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 15, 2006. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted.

## S068536

## PEOPLE v. MCWHORTER (RICHARD A.)

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to December 11, 2006.

#### S075616

#### PEOPLE v. GONZALES & SOLIZ

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Jay Colangelo's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Michael Soliz's reply brief by mid-April 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 12, 2006. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

#### S078027

## PEOPLE v. STREETER (HOWARD L.)

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to December 12, 2006. The court anticipates that after that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

#### S085578

## PEOPLE v. MCDOWELL (CHARLES)

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Tamara P. Holland's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by the beginning of October 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 1, 2006. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 days are contemplated.

#### S086578

#### PEOPLE v. MILLSAP & LOOT

On application of appellant Kendrick Loot and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 18, 2006.

#### S086578

## PEOPLE v. LOOT & MILLSAP

On application of appellant Bruce Millsap and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 4, 2006.

## S102166

## PEOPLE v. SIMON (RICHARD N.)

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 19, 2006.

## MIKLOSY (LES G.) v. U.C. REGENTS

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file Appellants' Reply Brief on the Merits is extended to November 15, 2006.

S139184 B179206 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 JOHNSON (WILLIAM K.) v. AMERICAN STANDARD, INC.

On application of plaintiff and appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to all amicus briefs is extended to November 13, 2006.

#### S139574

## PRICE (CURTIS F.) ON H.C.

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to December 11, 2006.

S142546 B178689 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **DOE (JOHN) v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES** On application of the City of Los Angeles and the Boy Scouts of America, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the respondents' answer briefs on the merits is extended to November 4,

2006.

#### S143615

#### PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (FERNANDO L.)

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 10, 2006.

S143929

# SILVERBRAND (PETER) v. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to November 7, 2006.

S144515

## C. (LEMANUEL), IN RE

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 6, 2006.

S144885

#### PEOPLE v. MANILA (SITHIXAY)

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Susan M. Burke is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S069959

## PEOPLE v. LEWIS (MICHAEL B.)

Appellant's "Application for Leave to File Supplemental Brief" is granted.

## B185930 Second Appellate District, Div 8 PEOPLE v. SCHMIDT (SHANO)

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to November 29, 2006. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28.2(c).)

#### S145875

#### SUSPENSION DUES - NONPAYMENT

Due to clerical error on the part of The State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of fees filed on August 22, 2006, effective September 18, 2006, be amended nunc pro tunc to strike the name **RICHARD A. FRIEDLING**, #155256.

# S145875 IN THE MATTER OF SUSPENSION OF ATTORNEYS FOR NONPAYMENT OF FEES UNDER THE STATE BAR ACT

Due to clerical error on the part of The State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of fees filed on August 22, 2006, effective September 18, 2006, be amended nunc pro tunc to strike the name **PAMELA R. DEMENT**, #202658.

#### S145767

#### THALER ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **ALAN VICTOR THALER, State Bar No. 101438**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 26, 2006. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and one-third of said costs must be paid with membership fees for the years 2007, 2008, and 2009. It is further ordered that if respondent fails to pay any installment within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S145768

#### WHARTON ON DISCIPLINE

It is hereby ordered that **SHEILA ANN WHARTON, State Bar No. 52005,** be disbarred from the practice of law and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

### S145771

### ROSS ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **HAROLD T. ROSS**, **State Bar No. 58168**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 30 days, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on June 14, 2006, and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. **Harold T. Ross** is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his

actual suspension. If **Harold T. Ross** is actually suspended for two years or more, he must remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that Harold T. Ross take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer (see *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8) and provide satisfactory proof of his passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within that same time period. If **Harold T. Ross** is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. \*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

## S145772 MILLS ON DISCIPLINE

It is hereby ordered that **GEORGE PARKER MILLS, State Bar No. 81041**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. \*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

## S145773

#### HANNES ON DISCIPLINE

It is hereby ordered that **MELODYE SUE HANNES State Bar No. 192977** be disbarred from the practice of law and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section and as a money judgment.

## \*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

## S147182

## **ROOK ON DISCIPLINE**

The voluntary resignation of **Walter Rook, State Bar No. 43653**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* It is recommended that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

#### **BECKEL ON RESIGNATION**

The voluntary resignation of **CARL LEE BECKEL**, **State Bar No. 90724**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* It is recommended that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## \*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

## S147184

## **DEGEORGE ON RESIGNATION**

The voluntary resignation of **REX K. DEGEORGE, State Bar No. 35901**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* It is recommended that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. \*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

#### S147186

#### PALMER ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **Brian Matthew Palmer**, **State Bar No. 235348**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

## S147187

## POLLACK ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **Nathaniel Edward Pollack**, **State Bar No. 207793**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S147191

#### SCOTT ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **Errol George Scott, State Bar No. 37686**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

#### S147193

#### SEGAL ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of Lawrence Ray Segal, State Bar No. 90258, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

## S147195

## **BLUMENTHAL ON RESIGNATION**

The voluntary resignation of **Steven Eric Blumenthal**, **State Bar No. 121334**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

## FLYNN ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **Thomas L. Flynn III State Bar No. 91475**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

## S147198

## **GREEN ON RESIGNATION**

The voluntary resignation of **Stephen Joseph Green, State Bar No. 97017,** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

## S147199

## **HIGGINS ON RESIGNATION**

The voluntary resignation of **Gary Donald Higgins, State Bar No. 166311**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.